

# Mathematical Logic as a Bridge Course

Jeffrey L. Hirst

Appalachian State University

These slides are available at  
[www.mathsci.appstate.edu/~jlh](http://www.mathsci.appstate.edu/~jlh)

Click on “Slides and Posters”

## Association for Symbolic Logic Guidelines<sup>1</sup>

All post-secondary institutions should offer at least one introductory course which teaches the basic notions of logic. All students should be encouraged to take such a course. These courses should include the following:

- The informal notion of “logically correct argument.”
- Informal strategies for producing logically correct arguments and counterexamples to fallacious arguments.
- The propositional calculus as an example of a formal language, formal proofs, and the formalization of natural language arguments.

- A discussion of the relationship of proof, truth, and counterexamples, including a discussion of the Soundness Theorem.
- The predicate calculus extension of propositional logic.
- At least an informal discussion of the Completeness Theorem, if time permits.

<sup>1</sup>From *Guidelines for Logic Education* of the ASL Committee on Logic and Education, published in the *Bulletin of Symbolic Logic*, **1** (1995) pages 4–7.

An Appalachian State University Model

## **Techniques of Proof (MAT 2110)**

A sophomore level bridge course directed (primarily) at mathematics majors.

Overview:

Begin with formal systems.

Transition to informal proof – viewed as a shorthand for formal axiomatic techniques.

## Topics:

- Propositional calculus ( $P \rightarrow P$ )
- Predicate calculus ( $\forall x P(x) \rightarrow P(x)$ )
- Equality
- Formal arithmetic  
(especially induction)
- Other topics:  
Sequences and convergence,  
continuity, linear algebra, other  
algebraic structures (groups, rings,  
fields), set theory, graph theory,  
incompleteness of arithmetic

## Propositional Calculus

A brief discussion of truth tables and tautologies is followed by an extended treatment of formal proof, using ...

The proof system **L** (as in Mendelson)

Axioms: (all instances of the following)

$$\text{Ax 1: } A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A)$$

$$\text{Ax 2: } (A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))$$

$$\text{Ax 3: } (\neg B \rightarrow \neg A) \rightarrow ((\neg B \rightarrow A) \rightarrow B)$$

Rule of Inference:

MP (modus ponens)

From  $A \rightarrow B$  and  $A$ , deduce  $B$ .

Some theorems of L: (Proved formally)

$$A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C \vdash_L A \rightarrow C$$

$$\vdash_L (\neg B \rightarrow \neg A) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$$

$$\vdash_L \neg\neg B \rightarrow B$$

Mathematical logic theorems:

(Applied and justified, but not proved)

Deduction Theorem:

If  $P \vdash_L Q$  then  $\vdash_L P \rightarrow Q$ .

Soundness Theorem:

If  $\vdash_L P$ , then  $P$  is a tautology.

Completeness Theorem:

If  $P$  is a tautology then  $\vdash_L P$ .

# Predicate Calculus

Topics include truth, models, logical validity, quantifiers, free and bound variables, and formal proofs in predicate calculus.

Mathematical logic theorems:

(Applied and justified, but not proved)

Deduction theorem

Completeness theorem

Soundness theorem

Strategies for quantifier manipulation

Typical theorems:

$$\forall x \forall y A(x, y) \vdash \forall y \forall x A(x, y)$$

$$\exists x (A(x) \rightarrow B(x)) \vdash \forall x A(x) \rightarrow \exists x B(x)$$

$$\neg \exists x A(x) \vdash \forall x \neg A(x)$$



## Advantages

- Fosters understanding of  $\rightarrow$ ,  $\neg$ , and quantifiers – as used in proofs.
- “Rules” are theorems – students own them.
- Emphasizes importance of definitions and assumptions in informal mathematics.
- Provides a framework for further study.