Reverse mathematics and colorings of hypergraphs

Jeff Hirst Appalachian State University Boone, NC USA

in collaboration with Caleb Davis, Jake Pardo, and Tim Ransom

January 18, 2019

ASL 2019 Winter Meeting Baltimore Convention Center

Reverse mathematics

Reverse mathematics uses a hierarchy of axioms of second order arithmetic to measure the strength of theorems.

The language has variables for natural numbers and sets of naturals numbers.

The base system, RCA₀, includes

- arithmetic facts (e.g. n + 0 = n),
- an induction scheme (restricted to Σ_1^0 formulas), and

• recursive comprehension (computable sets exist, i.e. sets with programmable characteristic functions exist).

Adding stronger comprehension axioms creates stronger axiom systems.

A *hypergraph* consists of vertices and edges. Edges may contain any number of vertices.



A *hypergraph* consists of vertices and edges. Edges may contain any number of vertices.



A *hypergraph* consists of vertices and edges. Edges may contain any number of vertices.



A *hypergraph* consists of vertices and edges. Edges may contain any number of vertices.



A *hypergraph* consists of vertices and edges. Edges may contain any number of vertices.



A *hypergraph* consists of vertices and edges. Edges may contain any number of vertices.



A *hypergraph* consists of vertices and edges. Edges may contain any number of vertices.



A *hypergraph* consists of vertices and edges. Edges may contain any number of vertices.



A *hypergraph* consists of vertices and edges. Edges may contain any number of vertices.



A *hypergraph* consists of vertices and edges. Edges may contain any number of vertices.



A *hypergraph* consists of vertices and edges. Edges may contain any number of vertices.



Hypergraphs with finite edges

The system ACA₀ adds arithmetical comprehension to RCA₀ (sets with arithmetically definable characteristic functions exist).

A theorem of reverse mathematics:

Theorem: Over RCA₀, the following are provably equivalent:

- 1. ACA₀.
- 2. Every injection has a range. (Friedman [3], Simpson [5]).
- 3. Suppose *H* is a hypergraph with finite edges presented as a sequence of characteristic functions. If every finite partial hypergraph of *H* has a proper 2-coloring, then *H* has a proper 2-coloring.

Hypergraphs with finite edges: Additional observations

Hypergraphs are different from graphs.

Theorem: RCA₀ proves the following are equivalent:

(1) ACA₀.

(2) Suppose H is a hypergraph with finite edges presented as a sequence of characteristic functions. If every finite partial hypergraph of H has a proper 2-coloring, then Hhas a proper 2-coloring.

Theorem: RCA₀ proves the following are equivalent:

(1) WKL₀.

(2) Suppose *H* is a graph with finite edges presented as a sequence of characteristic functions. If every finite partial graph of *H* has a proper 2-coloring, then *H* has a proper 2-coloring.

Hypergraphs with infinite edges

For hypergraphs with infinite edges, there is no arithmetical characterization of hypergraphs with proper 2-colorings. This is a corollary of:

Theorem: RCA₀ proves the following are equivalent:

(1) Π_1^1 -CA₀, the comprehension scheme for Π_1^1 definable sets.

(2) \widehat{HC} : If $\langle H_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of hypergraphs, then there is a function $f : \mathbb{N} \to 2$ such that f(i) = 1 if and only if H_i has a proper 2-coloring.

Proof sketch for $(1) \rightarrow (2)$:

f(i) = 0 if and only if every 2-coloring fails to be proper for H_i . "Fails to be proper" means that for some *j*, all the vertices of edge E_j of H_i match. Hypergraphs with infinite edges: the reversal

For the reversal, we need a combinatorial version of Π_1^1 -CA₀.

Theorem: RCA₀ proves the following are equivalent:

(1) Π¹₁-CA₀.

(2) $\widehat{\mathsf{WF}}$: If $\langle T_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of trees with integer labeled nodes, then there is a function $f : \mathbb{N} \to 2$ such that f(i) = 1 if and only if T_i is well founded. (Lemma IV.1.1, Simpson [5])

(3) $\widehat{\mathsf{WF}}_L$: If $\langle T_i, L_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of trees, each equipped with a leaf set L_i , then there is a function $f : \mathbb{N} \to 2$ such that f(i) = 1 if and only if T_i is well founded.

Leaf management

A tree can be converted to a tree with a leaf set by adding an extension with a new label to every existing nodes. The converted tree has the same infinite paths (and the same perfect subtrees).



Leaf management

A tree can be converted to a tree with a leaf set by adding an extension with a new label to every existing nodes. The converted tree has the same infinite paths (and the same perfect subtrees).



Leaf management

A tree can be converted to a tree with a leaf set by adding an extension with a new label to every existing nodes. The converted tree has the same infinite paths (and the same perfect subtrees).



































The reversal: $\widehat{\text{HC}} \to \widehat{\text{WF}}$



The reversal: $\widehat{\text{HC}} \to \widehat{\text{WF}}$



The reversal: $\widehat{\text{HC}} \to \widehat{\text{WF}}$



























































































Weihrauch reductions

Sample problems

WF: input a tree T; output 1 iff T is well-founded.

HC: input a hypergraph H; output 1 iff H has a proper 2-coloring.

Parallelization

HC: input an infinite sequence of hypergraphs; output list of indices of hypergraphs with proper 2-colorings.

Reductions

 $\mathsf{P}{\leqslant_{\mathsf{sW}}}\mathsf{Q}$ if there are uniformly computable procedures ϕ and ψ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{input}} & \rightarrow_{\varphi} & \mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{input}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{output}} & \leftarrow_{\Psi} & \mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{output}} \end{array}$$

Equivalences

 $\mathsf{P}{\equiv_{\mathsf{sW}}}\mathsf{Q} \text{ iff } \mathsf{P}{\leqslant_{\mathsf{sW}}}\mathsf{Q} \text{ and } \mathsf{Q}{\leqslant_{\mathsf{sW}}}\mathsf{P}$

Weihrauch equivalences

 $WF \equiv_{sW} WF_L \equiv_{sW} HC$

$$\widehat{\mathsf{WF}}_{\equiv_{\mathsf{SW}}}\widehat{\mathsf{WF}}_{\mathit{L}}_{\equiv_{\mathsf{SW}}}\widehat{\mathsf{HC}}$$

Another problem

PK: input a tree T; output the perfect kernel of T.

These results appear in Leaf management [4]

References

- Caleb Davis, Jeffry L. Hirst, Jake Pardo, and Tim Ransom, *Reverse mathematics and colorings of hypergraphs*, Archive for Mathematical Logic (2018).
 DOI 10.1007/s00153-018-0654-z.
- [2] Harvey Friedman, Some systems of second order arithmetic and their use, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Vancouver, B. C., 1974), Vol. 1, 1975, pp. 235–242. http://www.mathunion.org MR0429508.
- [3] Harvey Friedman, Abstracts: Systems of second order arithmetic with restricted induction, I and II, J. Symbolic Logic 41 (1976), 557–559. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2272259.
- [4] Jeffry L. Hirst, *Leaf management*. Submitted. Available at arxiv.org/abs/1812.09762.
- [5] Stephen G. Simpson, Subsystems of second order arithmetic, 2nd ed., Perspectives in Logic, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge; Association for Symbolic Logic, Poughkeepsie, NY, 2009.
 10.1017/CBO9780511581007 MR2517689.